

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 01194-824001 7802 10/728,248 12/04/2003 Paul Dicarlo EXAMINER 26161 7590 03/20/2006 FISH & RICHARDSON PC DRYDEN, MATTHEW DUTTON P.O. BOX 1022 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 3736

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\boldsymbol{\psi}$
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/728,248	DICARLO ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Matthew D. Dryden	3736
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SH WHIII - Extending aftending - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. lly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	December 2003.	
2a)	• • •	his action is non-final.	
3)	• •		
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	tion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withded Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 9-21</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3-8</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Applicat	tion Papers		·
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ on the drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been releau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date
3) 🔯 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/4/2005, 5/6/2005</u> .	[T] ·	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the word "be" on line 7, page 4 of the specification should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Biopsy device with rotating stylet assembly.

Claim Objections

Claims 5-8 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 5 recites the limitation of the second part, there is no second part in claim 1, claim 5 should refer back to either claim 3 or claim 4. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haaga (5718237).

Regarding claim 1, Haaga discloses a biopsy needle:

a housing having a proximal end and a distal end (can be seen around element 10 in Figure 1),

Art Unit: 3736

a stylet having a portion in the housing (around element 60 in Figure 4), the stylet being movable between a first extended position and a first retracted position (see Column 6, lines 44-46, and the stylet can be configured to rotate when moved from the first retracted position to the first extended position (for rotation of the stylet see column 7, lines 8-11),

a cannula coaxially receiving the stylet (element 12 in Figure 2), the cannula being movable between a second extended position and a second retracted position (see Column 6, lines 44-46, also see Column 7, lines 10-12).

Regarding claim 11, the edge of the notch of stylet (element 16 in Figure 4), can be viewed as sharp.

Claims 15, 17, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bachir (5660186).

Regarding claim 15, Bachir discloses a method of using a medical instrument comprising the steps of:

moving a stylet from a first position to a second position (see Column 6, lines 41-48),

simultaneously rotating the stylet along an axis of the stylet (see Column 6, lines 41-48),

and moving a cannula over the stylet (see Column 6, lines 49-58).

Regarding claim 17, the gutter of element 48 in Figure 3, can be viewed as a notch (see Column 6, lines 41-48).

Regarding claims 20 and 21, see column 6, lines 5-65.

Art Unit: 3736

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haaga in view of Terwilliger et al (5146921). Haaga discloses the claimed invention except for the device comprising a stylet block attached to the proximal end of the stylet. Terwilliger et al teach it is known to provide a stylet block attached to the proximal end of the stylet (see Column 8, lines 25-34) so that the stylet can be advanced and retracted by the block, which provides a stabile base for the stylet. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Haaga to include a stylet block attached to the proximal end of the stylet, as taught by

Art Unit: 3736

Terwilliger et al, so that the stylet can be advanced and retracted by the block, which provides a stabile base for the stylet.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haaga in view of Chu et al (5989196). Haaga discloses the claimed invention except for the device comprising a stylet spring capable of moving the stylet, and a cannula spring capable of moving the cannula. Chu et al teaches it is known to provide a biopsy needle with a cannula spring (element 40, Figure 3) and a stylet spring (element 44, Figure 3) for selectively moving the cannula and stylet from the retracted position to the extended position (see Columns 3-4, lines 31-6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Haaga to include a stylet spring and a cannula spring, as taught by Chu et al, for selectively moving the cannula and stylet from the retracted position to the extended position.

Regarding claim 10, Haaga discloses the claimed invention except for the device comprising a first and second pivoting latch for the stylet and the cannula. Chu et al teaches it is known to provide a biopsy needle with pivoting latches for the stylet and cannula (elements 60 and 52 in Figure 3) to retain the stylet and cannula in the retracted position until the switch has been moved (see Columns 3-4, lines 31-6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Haaga to include a first and second pivoting latch, as taught by Chu et al, to retain the stylet and cannula in the retracted position until the switch has been moved.

Art Unit: 3736

Claims 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haaga in view of Kass (5921943). Haaga discloses the claimed invention except for the device comprising a notch having two openings and an opening opposing the notch. Kass teaches it is known to provide two openings for a notch, which can also be viewed as a notch and an opening opposing the notch (which can be seen in Figure 19) for receiving tissue that has been cut by cutting cannula (see Column 9, lines 15-23). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Haaga with a notch having two openings and an opening opposing the notch, as taught by Kass, for receiving tissue that has been cut by cutting cannula.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haaga ('237) in view of Haaga (5394887). Haaga ('237) discloses the claimed invention except for the notch comprising a ramped surface. Haaga ('887) teaches it is known to provide a stylet with notch having a ramped surface (see Figure 3 around elements 38 and 40), to secure the stylet in the tissue against withdrawal of the of the stylet while the cutting cannula is advanced forward to complete the cutting and capturing of the specimen in the notch (see Column 2, lines 52-55). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Haaga ('237) with a stylet having a notch with a ramped surface, as taught by Haaga ('887), to secure the stylet in the tissue against withdrawal of the of the stylet while the cutting cannula is advanced forward to complete the cutting and capturing of the specimen in the notch.

Art Unit: 3736

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bachir in view of Edwards et al (5607389). Bachir discloses the claimed method except for the step of oscillating the stylet along the access. Edwards et al teach it is known to provide a medical probe with a biopsy stylet with a method step of oscillating the stylet along the axis to facilitate the cutting action of the stylet into the tissue (see Column 10, lines 53-63). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Bachir to include the step of oscillating the stylet, as taught by Edwards et al, axis to facilitate the cutting action of the stylet into the tissue.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bachir in view of Mallaby (5249583). Bachir discloses the claimed method except for the step of removing the sample from the notch with an object. Mallaby teaches it is known for the technician to remove the sample from the notch (see Columns 5-6, lines 62-9), but does not disclose to use an object to remove the sample however this is obvious because the user is at risk of he/she attempts to remove the sample with their hand. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Bachir with a step of removing the sample from the notch with an object, as implied by Mallaby, to reduce the risk of injury to the user while operating the stylet and cannula assembly.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bachir in view of Mallaby, as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Haaga (5394887).

Bachir as modified teaches the claimed method step except for the device having a

Art Unit: 3736

ramped portion on the stylet, Bachir as modified does teach removal of the sample from the notch of the stylet. Haaga ('887) teaches it is known to provide a stylet with notch having a ramped surface (see Figure 3 around elements 38 and 40), to secure the stylet in the tissue against withdrawal of the of the stylet while the cutting cannula is advanced forward to complete the cutting and capturing of the specimen in the notch (see Column 2, lines 52-55). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Bachir with a stylet having a notch with a ramped surface, as taught by Haaga ('887), to secure the stylet in the tissue against withdrawal of the of the stylet while the cutting cannula is advanced forward to complete the cutting and capturing of the specimen in the notch.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U.S. Pat. No. 6,673,023 Pflueger discloses a micro-invasive breast biopsy device
- U.S. Pat. No. 5,876,354 Quinn et al discloses a biopsy needle hub assembly
- U.S. Pat. No. 5,511,556 DeSantis discloses a needle core biopsy instrument.

Art Unit: 3736

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew D. Dryden whose telephone number is (571) 272-6266. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MDD